

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,293	02/05/2004	Kazuyo Ikeda	000862.023447.	4458
5514 7590 09/28/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, JAYESH A	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/771,293	IKEDA, KAZUYO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jayesh A. Patel	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	ugust 2007.	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	·53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendments to the claims dated 08/03/2007 have been entered.
- 2. Claims 19 and 21 have been cancelled from further prosecution.
- 3. The arguments presented on Page 13 Lines 20-23 and Page 14 Lines 7-10 "Niblack and Brown are not seen to disclose or suggest at least the feature that the reduced image of the candidate image (or that a reduced image of a determined candidate image is displayed in a plurality of patterns that includes an enlarged partial image)" are persuasive.
- **4.** In view of the above arguments the rejections have been presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18,20,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niblack et al. (US 6182069) hereafter Niblack in view of Yang et al. (US 20020025084) hereafter Yang.

5. Regarding Claim 1, Niblack discloses an image search apparatus in (**FIG 1**) which searches for an image by using image storage means for storing a plurality

Art Unit: 2624

of images (Element 36), region information storage means for storing partial images included in the respective images stored in the image storage means in correspondence with the respective images (Element 34), and region feature storage means for storing features of the partial images stored in the region information storage means in correspondence with the partial images (Element 35), comprising: image feature designation means for designating a feature of a search target image (Elements 15,16 and Col 3 Lines 58-65); candidate image determination means for searching features of partial images in the region feature storage means on the basis of the feature of the image which is designated by said image feature designation means (Element 32 and Col 3) Lines 66 through Col 4 Lines 1-17), and determining an image which is made to correspond to a partial image obtained on the basis of a search result as a candidate image from the images stored in the image storage means at (Col 4 Lines 11-17). Niblack discloses a search result display means (Element 13) for displaying a reduced image of the candidate image determined by said candidate image determination means. Niblack is silent and however does not disclose wherein said search result display means displays a reduced image of the candidate image upon enlarging the partial image included in the candidate image.

Page 3

Yang in (Fig 1-3,Page 1 Para 5,Page 4 Para 47,48,53,54 and Page 5

Para 65) disclose wherein said search result display means displays a reduced image of the candidate image upon enlarging the partial image included in the

Application/Control Number: 10/771,293 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

candidate image. Yang discloses in Sections 1 and 2 of fig 2 where an I211 is enlarged to Img 2 (as shown in the dotted line with circled 2). Yang further discloses that the enlargement method and apparatus has an advantage of speed and low operational cost in Para 18 by enlarging the reduced size image at the server. Both Niblack and Yang are analogous art and are from the same field of endeavor, therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of yang in the apparatus of Niblack for the above reasons.

- 6. Regarding Claim 2, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 1. Niblack further disclose characterized in that when a plurality of candidate images are obtained on the basis of a search result, said search result display means displays reduced images of the plurality of candidate images in the form of a list at (Element 13, Fig 6 Col 8 Lines 29-42, fig 9 Col 10 Lines 17-25 and Col 18. Lines 24-28). Yang also discloses in (Fig 2 sections 1 and 3) the list of reduced images.
- 7. Regarding Claim 1, Niblack and Yang disclose the apparatus according Claim
- 1. Yang further disclose characterized in that wherein said search result display means displays a reduced image of the candidate image upon enlarging the plurality of partial images included in the candidate image in (Fig 2-3).

Art Unit: 2624

8. Regarding Claim 4, Niblack and Yang disclose the apparatus according to Claim 1. Yang further disclose characterized in that said search result display means synthesizes the plurality of partial images to generate a new single partial image, and displays the new single partial image as a reduced image (one resolution lower or thumbnail) in Fig 2-3 where the server transmits the pluralities of partial images 211,212,221 and 222 to form a synthesized single partial image 2 in Fig 2. Furthermore if the client designates a particular region (Img 211) in the displayed image (Img 2) to request an enlarged image against the corresponding region, the server sequentially transmits to the client segmental images (Img 311-322) necessary for displaying the designated region out of the segmental images forming an image (Img 300). This shows that upon enlarging segment Img 211 the server sends the reduced in resolution image. This is shown in (Fig 2 section 3 and section 1).

- **9.** Regarding claim 5, Niblack and Yang disclose the apparatus according to claim 4. Yang further disclose characterized in that said search result display means generates a new single partial image by synthesizing the plurality of partial images while a relative positional relationship between the plurality of partial images is kept as explained in claim 4.
- **10.** Regarding Claim 6, Niblack and Yang disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Yang further disclose characterized in that when the plurality of partial

Art Unit: 2624

images partly overlap, said search result display means generates a new single partial image by synthesizing the plurality of partial images in (Fig 6A-6D where the image has pluralities of partial images).

- 11. Regarding Claim 7, Niblack and Yang disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Yang further disclose characterized in that sizes of the plurality of partial images are unified to a predetermined size as in Claim 4 where each partial images are unified to a one predetermined size or resolution.
- 12. Regarding Claim 8, Niblack discloses an image search apparatus in (FIG 1) which searches for an image by using image storage means for storing a plurality of images (Element 36), region information storage means for storing partial images included in the respective images stored in the image storage means in correspondence with the respective images (Element 34), and region feature storage means for storing features of the partial images stored in the region information storage means in correspondence with the partial images (Element 35), comprising: image feature designation means for designating a feature of a search target image (Elements 15,16 and Col 3 Lines 58-65); candidate image determination means for searching features of partial images in the region feature storage means on the basis of the feature of the image which is designated by said image feature designation means (Element 32 and Col 3 Lines 66 through Col 4 Lines 1-17), and determining an image which is made

Art Unit: 2624

an enlarged partial image.

to correspond to a partial image obtained on the basis of a search result as a candidate image from the images stored in the image storage means (Col 4 Lines 11-17). Niblack also discloses search result display means (Element 13) for displaying a reduced image of the candidate image determined by said candidate image determination means in a plurality of patterns at (Col 11 Lines 5-10). Niblack is silent and however does not disclose search result display means for displaying a reduced image of the candidate image determined by said candidate image determination means in a plurality of patterns that includes

Page 7

Yang in (Fig 1-3,Page 1 Para 5,Page 4 Para 47,48,53,54 and Page 5

Para 65) disclose wherein said search result display means displays a reduced image of the candidate image upon enlarging the partial image included in the candidate image. Yang discloses in Sections 1 and 2 of fig 2 where an I211 is enlarged to Img 2 (as shown in the dotted line with circled 2). Yang further discloses the pluralities of the patterns that include an enlarged partial image in (Figs 6A-6D and Page 6 Para 0083). Yang further discloses that the enlargement method and apparatus has an advantage of speed and low operational cost in Para 18 by enlarging the reduced size image at the server. Both Niblack and Yang are analogous art and are from the same field of endeavor, therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of yang in the apparatus of Niblack for the above reasons.

Art Unit: 2624

13. Regarding Claim 9, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 8. Niblack further disclose characterized in that said search result display means (Element 13 Fig 1) displays the plurality of reduced images in the form of a list (Col 12 Lines 61-67 and Col 13 Lines 1-22). Niblack also discloses reduced images of the patterns in (Fig 5). Yang also discloses the display with plurality of reduced images in (Fig 2 sections 1 and 3, Para 47 and 48).

- 14. Regarding Claim 10, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 8. Niblack further disclose characterized in that said search result display means (Element 13 Fig 1) displays a reduced image of the candidate image at (Col 12 Lines 61-67 and Col 13 Lines 1-22). Yang also discloses the display with plurality of reduced images in (Fig 2-3, Para 47 and 48).
- 15. Regarding Claim 11, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 8. Niblack discloses characterized in that said search result display means (Element 13 Fig 1) displays a reduced image of the partial image. Yang also discloses the display with plurality of reduced images in (Fig 2-3, Para 47 and 48).
- **16.** Regarding Claim 12, Niblack and yang discloses an apparatus according to Claim 8. Yang further disclose characterized in that said search result display

Art Unit: 2624

means alternately displays the reduced images at the same position one by one in an automatic manner (Fig 2-3 and Page 6 Para 83).

- 17. Regarding Claim 13, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 8. Yang further disclose characterized in that the apparatus further comprises switching means for switching display of the reduced images in said search result display means, and said search result display means alternately displays the reduced images at the same position one by one on the basis of a switching instruction from said switching means in (Fig 2-3 and Page 4 Para 55 where the process is repeated until the final image of optimum resolution is displayed). Yang further discloses at (Page 6 Para 83) where the display image are sequentially enlarged.
- **18.** Regarding Claim 14, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to Claim 1. Yang further discloses characterized in that said search result display means displays the reduced image in an area with a predetermined size at (**Fig 2-3**).
- 19. Regarding Claim 15, Niblack and Yang disclose the apparatus according to Claim 1. Yang further disclose characterized in that the partial image comprises a rectangular image having a region surrounded by a circumscribed rectangle of a predetermined object region included in the image in (Fig 2 and Page 6 Para

Application/Control Number: 10/771,293 Page 10

Art Unit: 2624

0084).

20. Regarding Claim 16, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 1., characterized in that said search result display means displays a reduced image of the candidate image while emphasizing (identifiable) the partial image included in the candidate image in (Fig 2-3).

- **21.** Claim 18 is a corresponding method performed by the apparatus as Claimed in Claim 1. See the explanation of apparatus performing a method in Claim 1.
- **22.** Claim 20 is a corresponding method performed by the apparatus as Claimed in Claim 8. See the explanation of apparatus performing a method in Claim 8.
- 23. Claim 22 is a computer-readable recording medium storing a program defined in claim 1. See the explanation of Claim 1.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niblack in view of Yang and in further view of Brown et al. (US 6356908) hereafter Brown.

24. Regarding Claim 17, Niblack and Yang discloses the apparatus according to claim 1. Niblack also disclose region feature storage means stores, as a feature

of the image, at least one of concept information expressing a concept obtained from the partial image in (Fig 5). Niblack however does not disclose Language information expressing the concept in a language, an image feature expressing a feature of the partial image, and a combination of the concept information, the language information, and the image feature.

Brown discloses Language information expressing the concept in a language, an image feature expressing a feature of the partial image, and a combination of the concept information, the language information, and the image feature in (Fig 5,6 and Figs 9 and 10). Brown discloses presenting a set of thumbnail images of the linked pages in the database near the links to the linked pages at (Col 2 Lines 18-20). Brown further discloses that a textual name followed by a short textual description of the linked page does not provide sufficient information to enable one to make an intelligent decision as to open the link at (Col 1 Lines 55-59). Niblack, Yang and Brown are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings of brown in the Query system and method of Niblack and Yang for the above reasons.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayesh A. Patel whose telephone number is 571-

270-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7.00am to 4.30 pm (5-4-9). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

Jayesh Patel 09/19/07

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER